Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/857,865	KITAYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Cephia D. Toomer</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Frederick Vastine</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 13		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a write record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
-		
		•
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Vastine that there did not appear to be support in the specification for the broad recitation of antioxidants, permanent static electrification resistance agents, UV absorbents and light stabilizers. Mr. Vastine agreed to limit these additives to those recited in the specification..